



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/031,091	01/15/2002	Gene Harlow Johnson	RCA 89650	5994
75	90 05/18/2006		EXAMI	INER
Joseph S Tripo	oli		CHOWDHURY	SUMAIYA A
	media Licensing Inc			D. 000 100 1000
PO Box 5312		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Princeton, NJ 08543-5312			2623	
			DATE MAILED: 05/18/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/031,091	JOHNSON ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Sumaiya A. Chowdhury	2623				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a r Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failui Any r	CRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Isions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state eply received by the Office later than three months after the main and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from tute, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
		nis action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the application.						
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application	on Papers						
9) 🗌 -	The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) 🔲 -	Γhe oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
	application from the International Bure	` ' ' '					
*8	ee the attached detailed Office action for a li	st of the certified copies not receive	d.				
Attachment		_					
1) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	(PTO-413)				
3) 🛛 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0	(5) Notice of Informal P	atent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper	No(s)/Mail Date <u>1-15-02</u> .	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/031,091

Art Unit: 2623

: "

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1-2, 5-6, and 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Reitmeier (WO 99/16247).

As for claim 1, Reitmeier teaches in a video processing apparatus (Fig. 1) having at least two video inputs (10A & 10B – Fig. 1) and coupled to a display device, a method of performing a channel search comprising:

determining a currently selected video input of the at least two video inputs (p.5, lines 22-29);

detecting available channels from various possible channels on only the currently selected video input (p. 6, lines 14-17); and

updating a channel list of channels available for the currently selected video input (p. 15, lines 26-27).

As for claims 2, 6, and 10, Reitmeier teaches detecting available channels comprises detecting only digital channels (mpeg; p. 5, lines 30-31, p. 4, line 4).

Application/Control Number: 10/031,091 Page 3

Art Unit: 2623

As for claim 5, Reitmeier teaches a video processing apparatus coupled to a display device and having at least two RF video inputs couplable to a source of television signals, the video processing apparatus comprising:

means (20 – Fig. 1) for selecting one of the RF video inputs (10A & 10 B – Fig. 1) as a television signal source for processing (p. 5, lines 22-29, p. 6, lines 5-14);

means (30 – Fig. 1) for detecting available channels from only the RF video input selected by the means for selecting (p. 6, lines 14-17, p. 8, lines 12-18);

means (70 – Fig. 1) for updating a channel list of channels available for the selected RF video input (p. 15, lines 26-27).

Claim 9 contains the limitations of claim 5 and is analyzed as previously discussed with respect to that claim. Claim 9 additionally calls for the following:

means (15B – Fig. 1) for receiving a first plurality of channels of television signals from a first television signal input (p. 5, line 22 – p. 6, line 4);

means (15A – Fig. 1) for receiving a second plurality of channels of television signals from a second television signal input (p. 5, line 22 – p. 6, line 4);

means (display device) for displaying the plurality of channels of television signals from either the first and second television signal inputs (p. 7, lines 10-11);

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Art Unit: 2623

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 3-4, 7-8, and 11-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reitmeier in view of Wugofski (WO 99/35833).

As for claims 3, 7, and 11, Reitmeier teaches after determining a currently selected video input:

means (34 – Fig. 1) for utilizing information generated from a previous full channel search in order to skip a cable/air detection routine (p. 8, line 31 – p. 9, line 4, p. 9, lines 20-25, p. 10, lines 5-9).

However, Reitmeier fails to teach that a user selects whether an RF television video input is coupled to a cable video signal source or an antenna video signal source.

In an analogous art, Wugofski teaches that the user selects through a remote control device (24 – Fig. 1A) whether s/he desires to select content from an RF receiver (antenna video signal source; 14 – Fig. 1A) or a digital receiver (cable video signal source; 16 – Fig. 1A) [p. 6, lines 20-30, p. 7, lines 22-25] for the advantage of providing the user with the option of choosing the source of video programming.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify Reitmeier's invention to include that the user selects through a remote control device whether s/he desires to select content from an RF

receiver or a digital receiver, as taught by Wugofski, for the advantage of providing the user with the option of choosing the source of video programming.

Page 5

As for claims 4, 8, and 12, Reitmeier teaches after determining a currently selected video input:

means (70 – Fig. 1) for utilizing information in order to skip a cable/air detection routine (p. 4, lines 29-31, p. 10, lines 8-14).

However, Reitmeier fails to teach that a user selects whether a video input or television signal is coupled to a cable video signal source or an antenna video signal source.

In an analogous art, Wugofski teaches that the user selects through a remote control device (24 – Fig. 1A) whether s/he desires to select content from an RF receiver (antenna video signal source; 14 – Fig. 1A) or a digital receiver (cable video signal source; 16 – Fig. 1A) [p. 6, lines 20-30, p. 7, lines 22-25] for the advantage of providing the user with the option of choosing the source of video programming.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify Reitmeier's invention to include that the user selects through a remote control device whether s/he desires to select content from an RF receiver or a digital receiver, as taught by Wugofski, for the advantage of providing the user with the option of choosing the source of video programming.

## Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/031,091

Art Unit: 2623

. . . .

1. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Sumaiya A. Chowdhury whose telephone number

Page 6

is (571) 272-8567. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 9-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Chris Grant can be reached on (571) 272-7292. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SAC

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800